arXiv:1404.3154v1 [math.KT] 11 Apr 2014

K-theory for the Leaf Space of Foliations Formed by the Generic K-orbits of a class of Solvable Real Lie Groups*

Le Anh Vu[1], **Duong Quang Hoa**[2] and **Nguyen Anh Tuan**[3]

[1]Department of Mathematics and Economic Statistics, University of Economics and Law

Vietnam National University - Ho Chi Minh City, Viet nam

E-mail: vula@uel.edu.vn

[2] E-mail: duongquanghoabt@yahoo.com.vn

[3] E-mail: natuan@upes.edu.vn

Abstract

The paper is a continuation of the works [17] of Vu and Shum, [18] and [19] of Vu and Hoa. In [17], Vu and Shum classified all the MD5-algebras having commutative derived ideals. In [18], Vu and Hoa considered foliations formed by the maximal dimensional K-orbits (for short, MD5-foliations) of connected MD5-groups such that their Lie algebras have 4-dimensional commutative derived ideals and gave a topological classification of the considered foliations. In [19], Vu and Hoa characterized the Connes' C*-algebras of some MD5-foliations considered in [18] by the method of K-functors. In this paper, we study K-theory for the leaf space of all MD5-foliations which are classified in [18] and characterize the Connes' C*-algebras of them by the method of K-functors.

Keywords: **Connes' C*-algebra, K-orbits, K-theory, MD5-group, Measured foliation.**

MSC(2000): Primary 16E20, 19K35; Secondary 22E45, 46L80, 46M20.

INTRODUCTION

In the decades 1970s – 1980s, works of D.N. Diep [5], J. Rosenberg [11], G. G. Kasparov [7], V. M. Son and H. H. Viet [12],... have shown that K-functors are well adapted to characterize a large class of

*This paper was supported by the University of Economics and Law, Vietnam National University-Ho Chi Minh City and the University of Education at Ho Chi Minh City.

group C*-algebras. Kirillov's method of orbits allows to find out the class of Lie groups MD, for which the group C*-algebras can be characterized by means of suitable K-functors. In terms of D. N. Diep, an MD-group of dimension n (for short, an MDn-group) is an n-dimensional solvable real Lie group whose orbits in the co-adjoint representation (i.e., the K- representation) are the orbits of zero or maximal dimension. The Lie algebra of an MDn-group is called an MDn-algebra (see [6]).

In 1982, studying foliated manifolds, A. Connes [4] introduced the notion of C*-algebra $C^*(V,\mathcal{F})$ associated to a measured foliation $(V,\mathcal{F})$. In general, the space of leaves $V/\mathcal{F}$ of a foliation $(V,\mathcal{F})$ with the quotient topology is a fairly untractable topological space. The Connes' C*-algebra $C^*(V,\mathcal{F})$ represents the leaf space $V/\mathcal{F}$ of $(V,\mathcal{F})$ in the following sense: When the foliation $(V,\mathcal{F})$ comes from a fibration (with connected fibers) $p : V \to B$ on some locally compact basis B, in particular the leaf space of $(V,\mathcal{F})$ in this case is the basis B, then $C^*(V,\mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to $C_0(B)\otimes\mathcal{K}$, where $\mathcal{K}=\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the C*-algebra of compact operators on an (infinite dimensional) separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ (see [14]). For such foliations $(V,\mathcal{F})$, $K_*(C^*(V,\mathcal{F}))$ coincides with the K-theory of the leaf space $B=V/\mathcal{F}$. Therefore, for an arbitrary foliation $(V,\mathcal{F})$, A. Connes [4] used $K_*(C^*(V,\mathcal{F}))$ to define the K-theory for the leaf space of $(V,\mathcal{F})$.

The following question naturally arises: Can we characterize Connes' C*-algebras $C^*(V,\mathcal{F})$ by using K-functors? In fact, A. M. Torpe [14] has shown that the method of K-functors is very useful and effective to characterize the structure of Connes C*-algebras associated with the foliations by Reeb components.

Now, we will deal with the class of MD-groups and MD-algebras. One of the reasons for studying the class MD is based on the following fact: for every MD-group G, the family of all K-orbits having maximal dimension forms a measured foliation in terms of Connes [4]. This foliation is called MD-foliation associated with G. The C*-algebra of G can be easily characterized when the Connes' C*-algebra of MD-foliation associated with G is known. Hence, the problem of classifying the topology and describing Connes' C*-algebras of the class of MD-foliations is worth to study. Combining methods of Kirillov (see [8]) and Connes (see [4]), Vu had studied MD4-foliations associated with all indecomposable connected MD4-groups and characterized Connes' C*-algebras of these foliations (see [15]). Recently, Vu and Shum [17] have classified, up to isomorphism, all the MD5-algebras having commutative derived ideals. In this classification, there are 14 families indecomposable MD5-algebras having 4-dimensional commutative derived ideals. So we obtain 14 families corresponding MD5-foliations.

In 2009, Vu and Hoa [18] gave a topological classification of 14 families of the corresponding MD5-foliations. Though there are 14 families considered MD5-foliations, there are only exactly 3 types of foliated topologies which are denoted by $\mathcal{F}_1$, $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$. All MD5-foliations of the type $\mathcal{F}_1$ are trivial fibrations with connected fibres on 3-dimesional sphere S^3 (see [18]), so Connes' C*-algebras of them are isomorphic to the C*-algebra $C(S^3)\otimes\mathcal{K}$ following [4].

All MD5-foliations of the types $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$ are non-trivial and cannot come from fibrations. But these foliations can be given by suitable actions of $\mathbb{R}^2$ on the foliated manifolds (see [18]). In [19], Vu and Hoa characterized Connes' C*-algebras associated to MD5-foliations of the type $\mathcal{F}_2$ by KK-functors.

The purpose of this paper is to study K-theory for the leaf space and to characterize the structure of Connes' C*-algebras $C^*(V,\mathcal{F})$ of all MD5-foliations $(V,\mathcal{F})$ of the remaining type $\mathcal{F}_3$ by the method of K-functors. However, for the sake of completeness, we will consider concurrently both these types $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$ in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows: The first section deals with some preliminary notions and results. In particular, we recall in this section the main results from [18] and [19] on the classification of all MD5-algebras having 4-dimensional commutative derived ideals and the topological classifications of MD5-

foliations associated to all of indecomposable connected MD5-groups which correspond with classified Lie algebras. Section 2 is devoted to study K-theory for the leaf space of all MD5-foliations of both these non-trivial types $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$. The main results of the paper are Theorems 2.1.1 and 2.2.3 in Section 2.

1 PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some notations and preliminary results which will be used later. Firstly, we recall some properties of Connes' C*-algebras in the first subsection.

1.1 Some Properties of Connes' C*-algebras

For a given foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$, the C*-algebra $C^*(V, \mathcal{F})$ is generated by certain functions on the graph (or holonomy groupoid) G (see [14, Section 2]) of the foliations $(V, \mathcal{F})$. The construction of $C^*(V, \mathcal{F})$ is fairly complicated, so we do not recall this construction here and refer the reader to the work [4, Sects. 5-6] of A. Connes.

PROPOSITION 1.1.1 (see **[14, Proposition 2.1.4]**). *Topologically equivalent foliations yield isomorphic C*-algebras.* □

PROPOSITION 1.1.2 (see **[14, Proposition 2.1.5]**). *Assume that the foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$ comes from an action of a Lie group H in such a way that the graph G is given as $V \times H$. Then $C^*(V, \mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to the reduced crossed product $C_0(V) \rtimes H$ of $C_0(V)$ by H.* □

PROPOSITION 1.1.3 (see **[14, Proposition 2.1.6]**). *Assume that the foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$ is given by a fibration (with connected fibers) $p : V \to B$. Then the graph $G = \{(x, y) \in V \times V \,|\, p(x) = p(y)\}$ which is a submanifold of $V \times V$ and $C^*(V, \mathcal{F})$ is isomorphic to $C_0(B) \otimes \mathcal{K}$.* □

PROPOSITION 1.1.4 (see **[14, Proposition 2.1.7]**). *Let $(V, \mathcal{F})$ be a foliation. If $V^{'}$ $(\subset V)$ is an open subset of foliated manifold V and $\mathcal{F}^{'}$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $V^{'}$. Then the graph $G^{'}$ of $(V^{'}, \mathcal{F}^{'})$ is an open subset in the graph G of $(V, \mathcal{F})$ and $C^*(V^{'}, \mathcal{F}^{'})$ can be canonically embedded into $C^*(V, \mathcal{F})$.* □

PROPOSITION 1.1.5 (see **[14, Subsection 2.2]**). *Let $V^{'}(\subset V)$ be a saturated open subset in the foliated manifold V of a foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}^{'}, \mathcal{F}^{''}$ the restrictions of $\mathcal{F}$ on $V^{'}$ and $V \setminus V^{'}$, respectively. Then $C^*(V^{'}, \mathcal{F}^{'})$ is an ideal in $C^*(V, \mathcal{F})$. Moreover, if the foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$ is given by a suitable action of an amenable Lie group H such that the subgraph $G \setminus G^{'}$ is given as $(V \setminus V^{'}) \times H$ then $C^*(V, \mathcal{F})$ can be canonically embedded into the following exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow C^*(V^{'}, \mathcal{F}^{'}) \longrightarrow C^*(V, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^*(V \setminus V^{'}, \mathcal{F}^{''}) \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{1.1.1}$$

□

In the next subsection, we present the main results from [17]. That is the classifications of indecomposable MD5-algebras having 4-dimensional commutative derived ideals.

1.2 The Classification of Indecomposable MD5-algebras having 4-dimensional commutative dereived ideals

Firstly, we recall the notion of MD-groups and MD-algebras.

DEFINITION 1.2.1 (see **[5, Chapter 4, Definition 1.1]**). *An n-dimensional MD-group (for short, MDn-group) is an n-dimensional real solvable Lie group such that its K-orbits are orbits of dimension zero or maximal dimension. The Lie algebra of an MDn-group is called an n-dimensional MD-algebra (for short, MDn-algebra).*

Now we use $\mathcal{G}$ to denote a Lie algebra of dimension 5. We always choose a suitable basis $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$ in $\mathcal{G}$ so that $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^5$ as a real vector space. The following proposition gives the classification of all indecomposable MD5-algebras having 4-dimensional commutative ideals.

PROPOSITION 1.2.2 (see **[17, Theorem 2.1]**). *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be an indecomposable MD5-algebra having 4-dimensional commutative $\mathcal{G}^1 := [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}] = \mathbb{R}.X_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}.X_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}.X_4 \oplus \mathbb{R}.X_5 \equiv \mathbb{R}^4$, $ad_{X_1} \in End(\mathcal{G}^1) \equiv Mat_4(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to one and only one of the following Lie algebras.*

1. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3 \neq \lambda_1$.

2. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

3. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,3(\lambda)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

4. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,4(\lambda)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

5. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,5} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

6. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,6(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}, \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

7. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,7(\lambda)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

8. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,8(\lambda)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

9. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,9(\lambda)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$.

10. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,10} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

11. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,11(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\varphi)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi & 0 & 0 \\ \sin\varphi & \cos\varphi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2, \varphi \in (0, \pi)$.

12. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,12(\lambda,\varphi)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi & 0 & 0 \\ \sin\varphi & \cos\varphi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \varphi \in (0, \pi)$.

13. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,13(\lambda,\varphi)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi & 0 & 0 \\ \sin\varphi & \cos\varphi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \varphi \in (0, \pi)$.

14. $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,14(\lambda,\mu,\varphi)} : ad_{X_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\varphi & -\sin\varphi & 0 & 0 \\ \sin\varphi & \cos\varphi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & -\mu \\ 0 & 0 & \mu & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$; $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \mu > 0, \varphi \in (0, \pi)$. □

We recall that each real Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ defines only one connected and simply connected Lie group G such that $Lie(G) = \mathcal{G}$. Therefore, we obtain a collection of 14 families of connected and simply connected MD5-groups corresponding to the indecomposable MD5-algebras given in Proposition 1.2.2. For convenience, each MD5-group from this collection is also denoted by the same indices as corresponding MD5-algebra. For example, $G_{5,4,11(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\varphi)}$ is the indecomposable connected and simply connected MD5-group corresponding to $\mathcal{G}_{5,4,11(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\varphi)}$.

Before we study K-theory for the leaf spaces of MD5-foliations associated to indecomposable MD5-groups corresponding to the MD5-algebras which are listed above, we need to recall the topological classifications of the class of these MD5-foliations in [18].

1.3 The Topological Classification of MD5-Foliations Associated to Considered MD5-groups

Let G be one of all MD5-groups corresponding to the MD5-algebras listed in Proposition 1.2.2. Denote by $\mathcal{G}^*$ the dual space of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} = Lie(G)$ of G. Clearly, $\mathcal{G}^*$ can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^5$ by fixing in it the basis $(X_1^*, X_2^*, X_3^*, X_4^*, X_5^*)$ which is the dual of the basis $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$ of $\mathcal{G}$. Let $F = \alpha X_1^* + \beta X_2^* + \gamma X_3^* + \delta X_4^* + \sigma X_5^* \equiv (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \sigma)$ be an arbitrary element of $\mathcal{G}^* \equiv \mathbb{R}^5$. The notation Ω_F will be used to denote the K-orbit of G which contains F. The geometrical picture of the K-orbits of G is given by the following proposition which has been proved in [16].

PROPOSITION 1.3.1 (see **[16, Theorems 3.3.1 – 3.3.4]**). *For each considered MD5-group G, the K-orbit Ω_F of G is described as follows.*

1. *Let G be one of $G_{5,4,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)}$, $G_{5,4,2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$, $G_{5,4,3(\lambda)}$, $G_{5,4,4(\lambda)}$, $G_{5,4,5)}$, $G_{5,4,6(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$, $G_{5,4,7(\lambda)}$, $G_{5,4,8(\lambda)}$, $G_{5,4,9(\lambda)}$, $G_{5,4,10}$; λ_1, λ_2, $\lambda_3, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0,1\}$, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3 \neq \lambda_1$.*

1.1. *If $\beta = \gamma = \delta = \sigma = 0$ then $\Omega_F = \{F\}$ (the 0-dimensional orbit).*

1.2. *If $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 + \sigma^2 \neq 0$ then Ω_F is the orbit of dimension 2 and it is one of the following:*

- $\{(x, \beta e^{a\lambda_1}, \gamma e^{a\lambda_2}, \delta e^{a\lambda_3}, \sigma e^a), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)}$.
- $\{(x, \beta e^{a\lambda_1}, \gamma e^{a\lambda_2}, \delta e^a, \sigma e^a), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$.
- $\{(x, \beta e^{a\lambda}, \gamma e^{a\lambda}, \delta e^a, \sigma e^a), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,3(\lambda)}$.
- $\{(x, \beta e^{a\lambda}, \gamma e^a, \delta e^a, \sigma e^a), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,4(\lambda)}$.
- $\{(x, \beta e^a, \gamma e^a, \delta e^a, \sigma e^a), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,5}$.
- $\{(x, \beta e^{a\lambda_1}, \gamma e^{a\lambda_2}, \delta e^a, \delta a e^a + \sigma e^a), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,6(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$.
- $\{(x, \beta e^{a\lambda}, \gamma e^{a\lambda}, \delta e^a, \delta a e^a + \sigma e^a), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,7(\lambda)}$.
- $\{(x, \beta e^{a\lambda}, \beta a e^{a\lambda} + \gamma e^{a\lambda}, \delta e^a, \delta a e^a + \sigma e^a), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,8(\lambda)}$.
- $\{(x, \beta e^{a\lambda}, \gamma e^a, \gamma a e^a + \delta e^a, \frac{\gamma a^2 e^a}{2} + \delta a e^a + \sigma e^a), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,9(\lambda)}$.
- $\{(x, \beta e^a, \beta a e^a + \gamma e^a, \frac{\beta a^2 e^a}{2} + \gamma a e^a + \delta e^a, \frac{\beta a^3 e^a}{6} + \frac{\gamma a^2 e^a}{2} + \delta a e^a + \sigma e^a),$
  $x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,10}$.

2. *Let G be one of $G_{5,4,11(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\varphi)}$, $G_{5,4,12(\lambda,\varphi)}$, $G_{5,4,13(\lambda,\varphi)}$; $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$. Let us identify $\mathcal{G}^*_{5,4,11(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\varphi)}$, $\mathcal{G}^*_{5,4,12(\lambda,\varphi)}$, $\mathcal{G}^*_{5,4,13(\lambda,\varphi)}$ with $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{R}^2$ and F with $(\alpha, \beta+i\gamma, \delta, \sigma)$. Then we have*

2.1. *If $\beta + i\gamma = \delta = \sigma = 0$ then $\Omega_F = \{F\}$ (the 0-dimensional orbit).*

2.2. *If $|\beta + i\gamma|^2 + \delta^2 + \sigma^2 \neq 0$ then Ω_F is the orbit of dimension 2 and it is one of the following:*

- $\{(x, (\beta + i\gamma)e^{ae^{-i\varphi}}, \delta e^{a\lambda_1}, \sigma e^{a\lambda_2}), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,11(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\varphi)}$.
- $\{(x, (\beta + i\gamma)e^{ae^{-i\varphi}}, \delta e^{a\lambda}, \sigma e^{a\lambda}), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,12(\lambda,\varphi)}$.
- $\{(x, (\beta + i\gamma)e^{ae^{-i\varphi}}, \delta e^{a\lambda}, \delta a e^{a\lambda} + \sigma e^{a\lambda}), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ *when* $G = G_{5,4,13(\lambda,\varphi)}$.

3. *Let G be $G_{5,4,14(\lambda,\mu,\varphi)}$. Let us identify $\mathcal{G}^*_{5,4,14(\lambda,\mu,\varphi)}$ with $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ and F with $(\alpha, \beta + i\gamma, \delta + i\sigma)$; $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu > 0$, $\varphi \in (o, \pi)$. Then we have*

3.1. *If $\beta + i\gamma = \delta + i\sigma = 0$ then $\Omega_F = \{F\}$ (the 0-dimensional orbit).*

3.2. *If $|\beta + i\gamma|^2 + |\delta + i\sigma|^2 \neq 0$ then*

$$\Omega_F = \{(x, (\beta + i\gamma)e^{ae^{-i\varphi}}, (\delta + i\sigma)e^{a(\lambda - i\mu)}), x, a \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

(the 2-dimensional orbit). □

In the introduction we have emphasized that, for every connected and simply connected MD-group, the family of maximal-dimensional K-orbits forms a measured foliation in terms of A. Connes. Namely, we have the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.3.2 (see **[18, Theorem 3.1]**). *Let G be one of the connected and simply connected MD5-groups corresponding to the MD5-algebras listed in Proposition 1.2.2, $\mathcal{F}_G$ be the family of all its K-orbits of dimension two and $V_G := \bigcup\{\Omega \,|\, \Omega \in \mathcal{F}_G\}$. Then $(V_G, \mathcal{F}_G)$ is a measurable foliation in the sense of Connes. We call it MD5-foliation associated with MD5-group G.* □

Remarks and Notations

Note that V_G is an open submanifold of the dual space $\mathcal{G}^* \equiv \mathbb{R}^5$ of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ corresponding to G. Furthermore, for all MD5-groups of the forms $G_{5,4,\ldots}$, the manifolds V_G are diffeomorphic to each other. So, for simplicity of notation, we shall write $(V,\, F_{4,\ldots})$ instead of $(V_{G_{4,\ldots}},\, F_{G_{4,\ldots}})$. Now we recall the classification of considered MD5-foliations (see [18, Theorem 3.2]) in the following proposition below.

PROPOSITION 1.3.3. (The Classification of Considered MD5-foliations)

1. *There exist exactly 3 topological types of 14 families of considered MD5-foliations as follows:*

   1.1. $\Big\{(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)}), (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}), (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,3(\lambda)}), (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,4(\lambda)}), (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,5}), (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,6(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}),$
   $(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,7(\lambda)}), (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,8(\lambda)}), (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,9(\lambda)}),\ (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,10}); \lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0,1\}\Big\}.$

   1.2. $\Big\{(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,11(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\varphi)}),\ (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,12(\lambda,\varphi)}),\ (V, \mathcal{F}_{4,13(\lambda,\varphi)});\ \lambda,\ \ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\};\ \varphi \in (0,\pi)\Big\}.$

   1.3. $\Big\{(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,14(\lambda,\mu,\varphi)}); \mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \mu > 0, \varphi \in (0,\pi)\Big\}.$

   We denote these types by $\mathcal{F}_1$, $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$ *respectively.*

2. *Furthermore, we have*

   2.1. *The MD5-foliations of the type* $\mathcal{F}_1$ *are trivial fibration with connected fibres on the 3-dimensional unitary sphere* S^3.

   2.2. *The MD5-foliations of the types* $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$ *can be given by suitable actions of* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *on the foliated manifolds* $V \cong \mathbb{R} \times \big(\mathbb{R}^4\backslash\{0\}\big)$ *and their graphs are identified with* $V \times \mathbb{R}^2$ □

As a direct consequence of Propositions 1.1.2, 1.1.3 and 1.3.3, we have the following assertion.

COROLLARY 1.3.4. (Analytical description of Connes' C*-algebras of considered MD5-foliations)

1. *The Connes' C*- algebra of all the MD5-foliations of the type* $\mathcal{F}_1$ *is isomorphic to* $C(S^3) \otimes \mathcal{K}$.
2. *The Connes' C*-algebra of all the MD5-foliations of the types* $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$ *is isomorphic to the reduced crossed product* $C_0(V) \rtimes \mathbb{R}^2$. □

2 K-THEORY FOR THE LEAF SPACE OF THE MD5-FOLIATIONS OF TYPES $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$

In this section, we study K-theory for the leaf space of all MD5-foliations of the non-trivial types $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$ and characterize the Connes' C*-algebras of these foliations. In the introduction, we have emphasized that the K-theory for the leaf space of all MD5-foliations of the type $\mathcal{F}_2$ and C*-algebra $C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$ have studied in [19]. However, for the sake of completeness, we will concurrently present here results of both these types $\mathcal{F}_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_3$.

In view of Proposition 1.3.3, all MD5-foliations $(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,12(\lambda,\varphi)})$; λ, λ_1, $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$; $\varphi \in (0,\pi)$, are topologically equivalent to each other and they define the type $\mathcal{F}_2$. Thus, we need only to choose

one envoy among them to describe the structure of the C*-algebra. Namely, we choose the foliation $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,12\left(1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ deputising for the type $\mathcal{F}_2$. Similarly, all foliations $(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,14(\lambda,\mu,\varphi)})$; $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu > 0$, $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$, are topologically equivalent to each other and they define the type $\mathcal{F}_3$. We have also to choose $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,14\left(0,1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ deputising for the type $\mathcal{F}_3$. So, the Connes' C*-algebras of all foliations defining $\mathcal{F}_2$ are isomorphic to $C^*\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,12\left(1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ and for brevity, we denote them by $C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$. Similarly, the Connes' C*-algebras of all foliations defining $\mathcal{F}_3$ are isomorphic to $C^*\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,14\left(0,1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ and we also denote them, for brevity, by $C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$.

In Proposition 1.3.3, we have seen that MD5-foliations $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,12\left(1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ and $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,14\left(0,1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ can be described by suitable actions of $\mathbb{R}^2$ on the foliated manifold

$$V \cong \mathbb{R} \times \left(\mathbb{R}^4 \backslash \{0\}\right) \cong \mathbb{R} \times \left(\left(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2\right) \backslash \{0\}\right) \cong \mathbb{R} \times \left((\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) \backslash \{0\}\right).$$

Namely, it is easy to verify that MD5-foliations $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,12\left(1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ and $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,14\left(0,1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ are given by the following actions λ_{12} and λ_{14} of $\mathbb{R}^2$ on V respectively.

$$\lambda_{12}\big((r,a)\,,(x,y+iz,t,s)\big) := \left(x+r, (y+iz)\,.e^{-ia}, t.e^{a}, s.e^{a}\right) \tag{2.0.1}$$

for every $(r,a) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $(x, y+iz, t, s) \in V \cong \mathbb{R} \times \left(\left(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2\right) \backslash \{0\}\right)$.

$$\lambda_{14}\big((r,a)\,,(x,y+iz,t+is)\big) := \left(x+r, (y+iz)\,.e^{-ia}, (t+is)\,.e^{-ia}\right), \tag{2.0.2}$$

for every $(r,a) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $(x, y+iz, t+is) \in V \cong \mathbb{R} \times \left((\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}) \backslash \{0\}\right)$.

Moreover, it follows from Proposition 1.3.3 and Corollary 1.3.4 that

$$C^*(\mathcal{F}_2) \cong C_0(V) \rtimes_{\lambda_{12}} \mathbb{R}^2; \qquad C^*(\mathcal{F}_3) \cong C_0(V) \rtimes_{\lambda_{14}} \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{2.0.3}$$

2.1 $\mathbf{C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)}$, $\mathbf{C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)}$ as Extensions of C*-Algebras

Many foliations are defined by decomposing suitably the given manifold, constructing foliations on the smaller pieces and then patching together to obtain a foliation of the total manifold. If the pieces when included in the total manifold are saturated with respect to the foliation, this may be viewed as patching together bits of leaf space. Translating into the language of C*-algebras, this construction of the foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$ gives us an extension of the form (1.1.1). Then, to study the K-theory of the leaf space of this foliation $(V, \mathcal{F})$, we need to compute the index invariant of $C^*(V, \mathcal{F})$ with respect to the constructed extension of the form (1.1.1). If considered C*-algebras are isomorphic to the reduced crossed products of the form $C_0(M) \rtimes H$ (see [11]), where M is some locally compact space and H is some Lie group acting on M, we can use the Thom-Connes isomorphism (see [3]) to compute the connecting maps δ_0, δ_1.

So, before we study the K-theory for the leaf spaces of MD5-foliations of the types $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$, we need to choose some suitable saturated open submanifolds in foliated manifold and construct the extensions of the form (1.1.1).

Let V_1, W_1, V_2, W_2, V_3, W_3 be the following submanifolds of V

$$V_1 := \{(x,y,z,t,s) \in V \,|\, s \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\}),$$

$$W_1 := V \setminus V_1 = \{(x,y,z,t,s) \in V \,|\, s = 0\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \left(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\}\right) \times \{0\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \left(\mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \{0\}\right),$$

$$V_2 := \{(x,y,z,t,0) \in W_1 \,|\, t \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\}),$$

$$W_2 := W_1 \setminus V_2 = \{(x,y,z,t,0) \in W_1 \,|\, t=0\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \left(\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}\right),$$

$$V_3 := \{(x,y,z,t,s) \in V \,|\, t^2+s^2 \neq 0\} \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \left(\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \{0\}\right),$$

$$W_3 := V \setminus V_3 = W_2.$$

It is easy to see that the action λ_{12} (resp., λ_{14}) in Formula (2.0.1) (resp., (2.0.2)) preserves the subsets V_1, W_1, V_2, W_2 (resp., V_3, W_3).

Let i_1, i_2, i_3, μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 be the inclusions and the restrictions as follows

$$\begin{array}{lll} i_1 : C_0(V_1) \to C_0(V), & i_2 : C_0(V_2) \to C_0(W_1), & i_3 : C_0(V_3) \to C_0(V), \\ \mu_1 : C_0(V) \to C_0(W_1), & \mu_2 : C_0(W_1) \to C_0(W_2) & \mu_3 : C_0(V) \to C_0(W_3), \end{array}$$

where each function of $C_0(V_1)$ (resp., $C_0(V_2)$, $C_0(V_3)$) is extented to the one of $C_0(V)$ (resp., $C_0(W_1)$, $C_0(V)$) by taking the value of zero outside V_1 (resp., V_2, V_3).

It is obvious that i_1, i_2, μ_1, μ_2 (resp., i_3, μ_3) are λ_{12}-equivariant (resp., λ_{14}-equivariant). Moreover, the following sequences are equivariantly exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0(V_1) \xrightarrow{i_1} C_0(V) \xrightarrow{\mu_1} C_0(W_1) \longrightarrow 0\,, \tag{2.1.1}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0(V_2) \xrightarrow{i_2} C_0(W_1) \xrightarrow{\mu_2} C_0(W_2) \longrightarrow 0\,, \tag{2.1.2}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0(V_3) \xrightarrow{i_3} C_0(V) \xrightarrow{\mu_3} C_0(W_3) \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{2.1.3}$$

Now we denote by $(V_1, \mathcal{F}_{12})$, $(W_1, \mathcal{F}_{12})$, $(V_2, \mathcal{F}_{12})$, $(W_2, \mathcal{F}_{12})$ the restrictions of $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,12\left(1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ to V_1, W_1, V_2, W_2 respectively. Similarly, the restrictions of $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,14\left(0,1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ to V_3 and W_3 are denoted by $(V_3, \mathcal{F}_{14})$ and $(W_3, \mathcal{F}_{14})$ respectively.

The following theorem is the first of the main results of the paper.

THEOREM 2.1.1. ($\mathbf{C}^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$, $\mathbf{C}^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$ as extensions of C*-algebras)

1. $C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$ admits the following repeated extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow J_1 \xrightarrow{\widehat{i_1}} C^*(\mathcal{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mu_1}} B_1 \longrightarrow 0\,, \tag{γ_1}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow J_2 \xrightarrow{\widehat{i_2}} B_1 \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mu_2}} B_2 \longrightarrow 0\,, \tag{γ_2}$$

2. $C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$ admits the following extension

$$0 \longrightarrow J_3 \xrightarrow{\widehat{i_3}} C^*(\mathcal{F}_3) \xrightarrow{\widehat{\mu_3}} B_3 \longrightarrow 0\,, \tag{γ_3}$$

where

$$J_1 := C^*(V_1, \mathcal{F}_{12}) \cong C_0(V_1) \rtimes_{\lambda_{12}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^3 \sqcup \mathbb{R}^3\right) \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

$$J_2 := C^*(V_2, \mathcal{F}_{12}) \cong C_0(V_2) \rtimes_{\lambda_{12}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{R}^2\right) \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

$$B_1 = C^*(W_1, \mathcal{F}_{12}) \cong C_0(W_1) \rtimes_{\lambda_{12}} \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$B_2 = C^*(W_2, \mathcal{F}_{12}) \cong C_0(W_2) \rtimes_{\lambda_{12}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

$$J_3 = C^*(V_3, \mathcal{F}_{14}) \cong C_0(V_3) \rtimes_{\lambda_{14}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

$$B_3 = C^*(W_3, \mathcal{F}_{14}) \cong C_0(W_3) \rtimes_{\lambda_{14}} \mathbb{R}^2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

and the homomorphismes $\widehat{i_1}, \widehat{i_2}, \widehat{i_3}, \widehat{\mu_1}, \widehat{\mu_2}, \widehat{\mu_3}$ *are defined by*

$$\left(\widehat{i_k} f\right)(r,s) = i_k f(r,s), \ \left(\widehat{\mu_k} f\right)(r,s) = \mu_k f(r,s); \ (r,s) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \ k = 1,2,3.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1.1.

We note that the graph of $(V_1, \mathcal{F}_{12})$ is given as $V_1 \times \mathbb{R}^2$, so by using Proposition 1.1.2, one has

$$J_1 = C^*(V_1, \mathcal{F}_{12}) \cong C_0(V_1) \rtimes_{\lambda_{12}} \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$B_1 \cong C_0(W_1) \rtimes_{\lambda_{12}} \mathbb{R}^2, \quad J_2 \cong C_0(V_2) \rtimes_{\lambda_{12}} \mathbb{R}^2, \quad B_2 \cong C_0(W_2) \rtimes_{\lambda_{12}} \mathbb{R}^2,$$
$$J_3 \cong C_0(V_3) \rtimes_{\lambda_{14}} \mathbb{R}^2, \quad B_3 \cong C_0(W_3) \rtimes_{\lambda_{14}} \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Note that V_1, V_3 and V_2 are saturated submanifolds in $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,12\left(1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$, $\left(V, \mathcal{F}_{4,14\left(0,1,\frac{\pi}{2}\right)}\right)$ and $(W_1, \mathcal{F}_{12})$ respectively. Furthermore, the graphs of all foliations $(W_1, \mathcal{F}_{12})$, $(W_2, \mathcal{F}_{12})$, $(W_3, \mathcal{F}_{14})$ are given as the product of foliated manifolds with $\mathbb{R}^2$. So we obtain extensions (γ_1), (γ_2) and (γ_3) by using Proposition 1.1.5.

From the equivariantly exact sequences (2.1.1), (2.1.2), (2.1.3), it follows from ([3, Lemma 1.1]) that Extensions (γ_1), (γ_2) (resp., (γ_3)) can be obtained from reduced crossed products of the C*-algebras in the exact sequences (2.1.1), (2.1.2) (resp., (2.1.3)) by $\mathbb{R}^2$.

On the other hand, it is easily seen that the foliation $(V_1, \mathcal{F}_{12})$ can be derived from the following submersion

$$p_1 : V_1 \cong \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \backslash \{0\}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \sqcup \mathbb{R}^3; \quad p_1(x,y,z,t,s) := (y,z,t,\text{sign}s). \tag{2.1.4}$$

Hence, in view of Proposition 1.1.3, we get $J_1 \cong C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^3 \sqcup \mathbb{R}^3\right) \otimes \mathcal{K}$.

The same argument shows that

$$J_2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \sqcup \mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \qquad B_2 \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$
$$J_3 = C^*(V_3, \mathcal{F}_{14}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K}, \qquad B_3 = C^*(W_3, \mathcal{F}_{14}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathcal{K}.$$

The proof is complete. □

2.2 Computing the Invariant Systems of $\mathbf{C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)}$ and $\mathbf{C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)}$

We now study the K-theory for the leaf spaces of MD5-foliations of the types $\mathcal{F}_2$, $\mathcal{F}_3$ and characterize $C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$, $C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$ by K-functors. Namely, we will compute the invariant systems of $C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$, $C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$ in KK-groups of Kasparov ([7]).

Firstly, we recall that Extensions (γ_1), (γ_2), (γ_3) define $C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$, B_1, $C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$ as elements in KK-groups $Ext(B_1, J_1)$, $Ext(B_2, J_2)$, $Ext(B_3, J_3)$ respectively. These elements are called the invariants of $C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$, $C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$. Namely, we have the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.2.1. *The pair of elements* (γ_1, γ_2) *(resp., the element* γ_3*) corresponding to the repeated extensions* (γ_1), (γ_2) *(resp., the extension* (γ_3)*) in* $Ext(B_1, J_1) \oplus Ext(B_2, J_2)$ *(resp.,* $Ext(B_3, J_3)$*) is called the system of index invariants (resp., the index invariant) of* $C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$ *(resp.,* $C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$*) and denoted by* $Index\, C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$ *(resp.,* $Index\, C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$*).*

As the analyses in the introduction, we have the following remark.

REMARK 2.2.2. $Index\, C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$, $Index\, C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$ determine the so-called "stable type" of $C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)$, $C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)$ in $Ext(B_1, J_1) \oplus Ext(B_2, J_2)$, $Ext(B_3, J_3)$, respectively.

Now, we present and prove the last main result of the paper.

THEOREM 2.2.3. (Index Invariants of $\mathbf{C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)}$ and $\mathbf{C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)}$)

1. $Index\, C^*(\mathcal{F}_2) = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, *where*
$$\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ in the KK-group } Ext(B_1, J_1) \equiv Hom(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^2);$$
$$\gamma_2 = (1,1) \text{ in the KK-group } Ext(B_2, J_2) \equiv Hom(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^2).$$
2. $Index\, C^*(\mathcal{F}_3) = \gamma_3$, *where* $\gamma_3 = (0,1)$ *in the KK-group* $Ext(B_3, J_3) \equiv Hom(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus Hom(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$.

To prove this theorem, we need some lemmas as follows.

LEMMA 2.2.4 (see **[19, Lemma 4.4]**). *Set* $I_2 := C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\})\right)$ *and* $A_2 := C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}\right)$. *Then, the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & K_j(I_2) & \longrightarrow & K_j\left(C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}\right)\right) & \longrightarrow & K_j(A_2) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(I_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} & & \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}\big(C_0(V_2)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}\big(C_0(W_1)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}\big(C_0(W_2)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(V_2)\big) & \longrightarrow & \cdots
\end{array}$$

where β_1 *is the isomorphism defined in ([13, Theorem 9.7]) or in ([3, Corollary VI.3]),* $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let

$$k_2 : I_2 = C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\})\right) \to C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}\right), \qquad v_2 : C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}\right) \to A_2 = C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}\right)$$

be the inclusion and restriction defined similarly as i_1, i_2, i_3, μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 in Subsection 2.1. One gets the exact sequence as follows

$$0 \longrightarrow I_2 \xrightarrow{k_2} C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}\right) \xrightarrow{v_2} A_2 \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{2.2.1}$$

Note that

$$C_0(V_2) \cong C_0\left(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2\times(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\})\right) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R})\otimes I_2,$$
$$C_0(W_2) \cong C_0\left(\mathbb{R}\times\left(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}\right)\right) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R})\otimes A_2,$$
$$C_0(W_1) \cong C_0\left(\mathbb{R}\times\left(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}\right)\right) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R})\otimes C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}\right).$$

So the extension (2.1.2) can be identified to the following one

$$0 \longrightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R})\otimes I_2 \xrightarrow{id\otimes k_2} C_0(\mathbb{R})\otimes C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}\right) \xrightarrow{id\otimes v_2} C_0(\mathbb{R})\otimes A_2 \longrightarrow 0\,. \tag{2.2.2}$$

Now, using [13, Theorem 9.7, Corollary 9.8], we obtain the assertion of the lemma. □

By an argument analogous to that used for the above proof, by using the exact sequence (2.1.1) and diffeomorphisms $V \cong \mathbb{R}\times\left(\mathbb{R}^4\backslash\{0\}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+\times S^3$, $W_1 \cong \mathbb{R}\times\left(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+\times S^2$ we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2.5 (see **[19, Lemma 4.5]**). *Set $I_1 = C_0\left(\mathbb{R}^2\times(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\})\right)$ and $A_1 = C\left(S^2\right)$. Then, the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\ldots & \longrightarrow & K_j(I_1) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C(S^3)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j(A_1) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(I_1) & \longrightarrow & \ldots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \\
\ldots & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(W_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(C_0(V_1)) & \longrightarrow & \ldots
\end{array}$$

where β_2 is the Bott isomorphism, $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. □

Similarly, using the exact sequence (2.1.3) and diffeomorphisms $V \cong \mathbb{R}\times\left(\mathbb{R}^4\backslash\{0\}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+\times S^3$, $W_3 \cong \mathbb{R}\times\left(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}_+\times S^1$, $V_3 \cong \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2\times\left(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}_+\times S^1$, we also get the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2.6. *Set $I_3 = C_0(\mathbb{R}^2\times S^1)$ and $A_3 = C(S^1)$. Then, the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\ldots & \longrightarrow & K_j(I_3) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C(S^3)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j(A_3) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(I_3) & \longrightarrow & \ldots \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \\
\ldots & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V_3)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(V)) & \longrightarrow & K_j(C_0(W_3)) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(C_0(V_3)) & \longrightarrow & \ldots
\end{array}$$

where β_2 is the Bott isomorphism, $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. □

Before computing the K-groups, we need the following notations. Let $u_\pm : \mathbb{R}_\pm \to S^1$ be the map

$$u_\pm(z) := e^{2\pi i(\mp z/\sqrt{1+z^2})},\ z \in \mathbb{R}_\pm.$$

Note that the class $[u_+]$ (resp., $[u_-]$) is the generator of $K_1\left(C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (resp., $K_1\left(C_0(\mathbb{R}_-)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$). Let us consider the matrix valued function $p :\ \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\} \cong S^1\times\mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$ (resp., $\widehat{p} :\ S^2 \cong D^2/S^1 \to M_2(\mathbb{C})$) defined by:

$$p(x,y)\,(resp.,\,\widehat{p}(x,y)) := \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1-\cos\pi\sqrt{x^2+y^2} & \frac{x+iy}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}\sin\pi\sqrt{x^2+y^2} \\ \frac{x-iy}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}\sin\pi\sqrt{x^2+y^2} & 1+\cos\pi\sqrt{x^2+y^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then p (resp., $\widehat{p}$) is an idempotent of rank 1 for each $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\{0\}$ (resp., $(x,y) \in D^2/S^1$). Let $[b] \in K_0\left(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2)\right)$ be the Bott element, and $[1]$ be the generator of $K_0\left(C(S^1)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

LEMMA 2.2.7. (see [19, Lemma 4.6])

(i) $K_0(B_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2,\ K_1(B_1) = 0,$

(ii) $K_0(J_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ *is generated by* $\varphi_0\beta_1\big([b] \boxtimes [u_+]\big)$ *and* $\varphi_0\beta_1\big([b] \boxtimes [u_-]\big);\ K_1(J_2) = 0,$

(iii) $K_0(B_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ *is generated by* $\varphi_0\beta_1\big([1] \boxtimes [u_+]\big);\ K_1(B_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ *is generated by* $\varphi_1\beta_1\big([p] - [\varepsilon_1]\big),$

where φ_j *is the Thom-Connes isomorphism,* $j \in \{0,1\}$ *(see[3]);* β_1 *is the isomorphism in Lemma 2.2.4;* ε_1 *is the constant matrix* $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\boxtimes$ *is the external tensor product (see, for example, [4,VI.2]).* □

LEMMA 2.2.8. (see [19, Lemma 4.7])

(i) $K_0\big(C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)\big) \cong \mathbb{Z},\ K_1\big(C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)\big) \cong \mathbb{Z},$

(ii) $K_0(J_1) = 0;\ K_1(J_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ *is generated by* $\varphi_1\beta_2\big([b] \boxtimes [u_+]\big)$ *and* $\varphi_1\beta_2\big([b] \boxtimes [u_-]\big),$

(iii) $K_1(B_1) = 0;\ K_0(B_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ *is generated by* $\varphi_0\beta_2[\widehat{1}]$ *and* $\varphi_0\beta_2\big([\widehat{p}] - [\varepsilon_1]\big),$

where $\widehat{1}$ *is unit element in* $C(S^2)$*;* φ_j *is the Thom-Connes isomorphism,* $j \in \{0,1\}$*;* β_2 *is the Bott isomorphism.* □

REMARK 2.2.9. It is easily seen that

(i) $K_i\big(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1)\big) \cong K_i\big(C(S^1)\big) \cong \mathbb{Z};\ i = 0, 1,$

(ii) $K_i\big((S^3)\big) \cong \mathbb{Z}; i = 0, 1,$

(iii) $K_0\big(C(S^1)\big) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\varphi_0\beta_2[1]$ and $K_1\big(C(S^1)\big) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $\varphi_1\beta_2[Id]$,

where φ_0, φ_1 are the Thom-Connes isomorphisms, β_2 is the Bott isomorphism.

We are now prove Theorem 2.2.3.

see **Proof of Theorem 2.2.3**.

1. Computation of γ_1 (see [19, pp. 257–258])

Recall that the extension (γ_1) in Theorem 2.1.1 gives rise to a six-term exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 = K_0(J_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0\big(C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B_1) \\ {\scriptstyle\delta_1}\uparrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\delta_0} \\ 0 = K_1(B_1) & \longleftarrow & K_1\big(C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)\big) & \longleftarrow & K_1(J_1) \end{array}$$

By [11, Theorem 4.14], the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}(B_1, J_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}\big((K_0(B_1), K_1(J_1)\big) \equiv \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^2)$$

associates the invariant $\gamma_1 \in \mathrm{Ext}(B_1, J_1)$ to the connecting map $\delta_0 : K_0(B_1) \to K_1(J_1)$. Since the Thom-Connes isomorphism commutes with $K-$theoretical exact sequence ([14, Lemma 3.4.3]), we have the following commutative diagram ($j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\ldots & \longrightarrow & K_j(J_1) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C^*(\mathcal{F}_2)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j(B_1) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(J_1) & \longrightarrow & \ldots \\
 & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{j+1}} & & \\
\ldots & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(V_1)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(V)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(W_1)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}\big(C_0(V_1)\big) & \longrightarrow & \ldots
\end{array}$$

In view of Lemma 2.2.5, the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\ldots & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(V_1)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(V)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(W_1)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}\big(C_1(V_1)\big) & \longrightarrow & \ldots \\
 & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \\
\ldots & \longrightarrow & K_j(I_1) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C(S^3)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j(A_1) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(I_1) & \longrightarrow & \ldots
\end{array}$$

Consequently, instead of computing $\delta_0 : K_0(B_1) \to K_1(J_1)$, it is sufficient to compute $\delta_0 : K_0(A_1) \to K_1(I_1)$. Namely, we have to calculate $\delta_0\big([\widehat{p}] - [\varepsilon_1]\big) = \delta_0\big([\widehat{p}]\big)$ because $\delta_0\big([\varepsilon_1]\big) = (0;0)$ and $\delta_0\big([\widehat{1}]\big) = (0;0)$. By the usual definition ([13, p.170]), for $[\widehat{p}] \in K_0(A_1)$, we have $\delta_0\big([\widehat{p}]\big) = \big[e^{2\pi i\widetilde{p}}\big] \in K_1(I_1)$ where $\widetilde{p}$ is a preimage of $\widehat{p}$ in (the matrix algebra over) $C(S^3)$, i.e. $v_1\big(\widetilde{p}\big) = \widehat{p}$. Concretely, we can choose $\widetilde{p}(x,y,z) := \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{1+z^2}}.\widehat{p}(x,y)\, for\, (x,y,z) \in S^3$. Let $\widetilde{p}_+$ (resp. $\widetilde{p}_-$) be the restriction of $\widetilde{p}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_-$). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0\big([\widehat{p}]\big) \;=\; \big[e^{2\pi i\widetilde{p}}\big] \;&=\; \big[e^{2\pi i\widetilde{p}_+}\big] + \big[e^{2\pi i\widetilde{p}_-}\big] \\
&\in K_1\Big(C_0\big(\mathbb{R}^2\big) \otimes C_0\big(\mathbb{R}_+\big)\Big) \oplus K_1\Big(C_0\big(\mathbb{R}^2\big) \otimes C_0\big(\mathbb{R}_-\big)\Big) \;=\; K_1(I_1). \quad (2.2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

By [13, Section 4], for each function $f : \mathbb{R}_\pm \to Q_n\widetilde{C_0\big(\mathbb{R}^2\big)}$ such that $\lim\limits_{x\to 0} f(t) = \lim\limits_{x\to\pm\infty} f(t)$, where $Q_n\widetilde{C_0\big(\mathbb{R}^2\big)} = \Big\{a \in M_n\widetilde{C_0\big(\mathbb{R}^2\big)}, e^{2\pi ia} = Id\Big\}$, the class $[f] \in K_1\big(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}_\pm)\big)$ can be determined by $[f] = W_f.[b] \boxtimes [u_\pm]$, where $W_f = \dfrac{\mp 1}{2\pi i}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}_\pm} Tr\big(f'(z)f^{-1}(z)\big)dz$ is the winding number of f.

By simple computation, we get $\delta_0\big([p]\big) = [b]\boxtimes[u_+]+[b]\boxtimes[u_-]$. Thus $\gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^2)$.

2. Computation of γ_2 ([19, p.258])

The extension (γ_2) gives rise to a six-term exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K_0(J_2) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B_2) \\
\uparrow{\scriptstyle \delta_1} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_0} \\
K_1(B_2) & \longleftarrow & K_1(B_1) & \longleftarrow & K_1(J_2) = 0
\end{array}$$

By [11, Theorem 4.14], $\gamma_2 = \delta_1 \in \mathrm{Hom}\big(K_1(B_2), K_0(J_2)\big) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^2)$. Similarly to the computa-

tion of γ_1, taking account of Lemmas 2.2.4 and 2.2.7, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\dots & \longrightarrow & K_j(J_2) & \longrightarrow & K_j(B_1) & \longrightarrow & K_j(B_2) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(J_2) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{j+1}} & & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(V_2)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(W_1)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(W_2)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}\big(C_0(V_2)\big) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} & & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & K_{j-1}(I_2) & \longrightarrow & K_{j-1}\big(C_0(\mathbb{R}^3\backslash\{0\})\big) & \longrightarrow & K_{j-1}(A_2) & \longrightarrow & K_j(I_2) & \longrightarrow & \dots
\end{array}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Thus we can compute $\delta_0 : K_0(A_2) \to K_1(I_2)$ instead of $\delta_1 : K_1(B_2) \to K_0(J_2)$. By the proof of Lemma 2.2.7, we have to define $\delta_0\big([p] - [\epsilon_1]\big) = \delta_0\big([p]\big)$ (because $\delta_0\big([\epsilon_1]\big) = (0,0)$). The same argument as above, we get $\delta_0\big([p]\big) = [b] \boxtimes [u_+] + [b] \boxtimes [u_-]$. Thus $\gamma_2 = (1,1) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$.

3. Computation of γ_3

The extension (γ_3) gives rise to a six-term exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K_0(J_3) & \longrightarrow & K_0\left(C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)\right) & \longrightarrow & K_0(B_3) \\
{\scriptstyle \delta_1}\uparrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_0} \\
K_1(B_3) & \longleftarrow & K_1\left(C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)\right) & \longleftarrow & K_1(J_3)
\end{array} \tag{2.2.4}$$

By [11, Theorem 4.14], we have

$$\gamma_3 \,=\, (\delta_0,\, \delta_1) \,\in Ext(B_3,\, J_3) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(K_0(B_3), K_1(J_3)\big) \,\oplus\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(K_1(B_3),\, K_0(J_3)\big).$$

Similarly to the computation of γ_1, taking account of Lemma 2.2.6 and Remark 2.2.9, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\dots & \longrightarrow & K_j(J_3) & \longrightarrow & K_j\left(C^*(\mathcal{F}_3)\right) & \longrightarrow & K_j(B_3) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(J_3) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_j} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_{j+1}} & & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(V_3)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(V)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C_0(W_3)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}\big(C_0(V_3)\big) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta_2} & & \\
\dots & \longrightarrow & K_j(I_3) & \longrightarrow & K_j\big(C(S^3)\big) & \longrightarrow & K_j(A_3) & \longrightarrow & K_{j+1}(I_3) & \longrightarrow & \dots
\end{array}$$

Thus we can compute the pair $(\delta_0, \delta_1) \in Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(K_0(A_3), K_1(I_3)\big) \oplus Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(K_1(A_3), K_0(I_3)\big)$ instead of the pair $(\delta_0, \delta_1) \in Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(K_0(B_3), K_1(J_3)\big) \oplus Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}\big(K_1(B_3), K_0(J_3)\big)$. By Remark 2.2.9, the K-theory exact sequence (2.2.4) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\
{\scriptstyle \delta_1}\uparrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_0} \\
\mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z} & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{Z}
\end{array} \tag{2.2.5}$$

Moreover, it follows from the exactness of (2.2.5) that this exact sequence is one of the following ones

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbb{Z} \\
{\scriptstyle \delta_1=1}\uparrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_0=0} \\
\mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow[0]{} & \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow[1]{} & \mathbb{Z}
\end{array} \tag{2.2.6}$$

or

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} \\ {\scriptstyle \delta_1=0}\uparrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_0=1} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow[1]{} & \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow[0]{} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \tag{2.2.7}$$

Now, in order to complete the computation of γ_3, we need consider homomorphism δ_1. Namely, we consider $a = e^{i\varphi} \in GL_1\left(C(S^1)\right)$, set $b := a^{-1}$. Then, one has

$$a \oplus b = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2^0\left(C(S^1)\right).$$

Let u be the function of matrix values defined as follows

$$u(\theta_1, \theta_2, \varphi) := \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\varphi}.e^{i\theta_1}.\cos\theta_2 & -\sin\theta_2 \\ \sin\theta_2 & e^{-i\varphi}.e^{-i\theta_1}.\cos\theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2^0\left(C(S^3)\right)$$

for every $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \varphi) \equiv (\cos\theta_1.\cos\theta_2\cos\varphi,\ \cos\theta_1.\cos\theta_2\sin\varphi,\ \cos\theta_1.\sin\theta_2,\ \sin\theta_1) \in S^3$. It is easily seen that u is one preimage of $a \oplus b$ in $GL_2^0\left(C(S^1)\right)$. Set $q := I_1 \oplus O_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $p := uqu^{-1}$, where I_n, O_n are the identity and zero in $Mat_n(\mathbb{R})$, respectively $(0 < n \in \mathbb{N})$. Then $p \in P_2\big(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1)\big)^+$ and $rank(p) = 1$. By the usual definition of δ_1 (see [10, Chapter 9]), we get $\delta_1([a]) = [p] - [I_1] \neq 0 \in K_0\left(C_0(\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1)\right)$. So the K-theory exact sequence (2.2.4) becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{1} & \mathbb{Z} \\ {\scriptstyle \delta_1=1}\uparrow & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta_0=0} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow[0]{} & \mathbb{Z} & \xleftarrow[1]{} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array} \tag{2.2.8}$$

The proof is complete. □

Acknowledgements

The authors would like take this opportunity to thank Prof. DSc. Do Ngoc Diep for his excellent advice and financial support. They also wish to thank the University of Economics and Law, Vietnam National University-Ho Chi Minh City and the University of Education at Ho Chi Minh City for financial supports.

References

[1] ATIYAH, M.F., "K - theory", Benjamin, New York, 1967.

[2] BROWN, L. G.; DOUGLAS, R. G.; FILLMORE, P. A., Extension of C*-algebra and K-homology, Ann. of Math, **105** (1977), 265 - 324.

[3] CONNES, A., An Analogue of the Thom Isomorphism for Crossed Products of a C*-algebra by an Action of $\mathbb{R}$, Adv. In Math., 39(1981), 31 - 55.

[4] CONNES, A., A Survey of Foliations and Operator Algebras, Proc. Sympos. Pure Mathematics, **38** (1982), 521 - 628.

[5] DIEP, D. N., Structure of the group C*-algebra of the group of affine transformations of the line (Russian), Funktsional. Anal. I Prilozhen, **9**(1975), 63 - 64.

[6] DIEP, D. N., Method of Noncommutative Geometry for Group C*-algebras. Reseach Notes in Mathematics Series, Vol.416. Cambridge: Chapman and Hall-CRC Press, 1999.

[7] KASPAROV, G. G., The operator K-functor and extensions of C*-algebras, Math. USSR Izvestija, **16** (1981), No 3, 513 - 572.

[8] KIRILLOV, A. A., Elements of the Theory of Representations, Springer - Verlag, Berlin - Heidenberg - New York, (1976).

[9] RAEBURN, I.; ROSENBERG, J., Crossed Products of Continuous-Trace C*-algebras by Smooth Actions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **305**, No 1, January 1988, 1 - 45.

[10] RORDAM, M.; LARSEN, F.; LAUSTSEN, N., An Introduction to K-Theory for C*-Algebras, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, (2000).

[11] ROSENBERG, J., Homological invariants of extension of C*-algebras, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **38** (1982), AMS Providence R.I., 35 - 75.

[12] SON, V. M. ; VIET, H. H., Sur la structure des C*-algebres dune classe de groupes de Lie, J. Operator Theory, **11** (1984), 77 - 90.

[13] TAYLOR, J. L., Banach Algebras and Topology, in Algebras in Analysis, pp. 118-186, Academic Press, New York, (1975).

[14] TORPE, A. M., K-theory for the Leaf Space of Foliations by Reeb Components, J. Func. Anal., **61** (1985), 15-71.

[15] VU, L. A., The foliation formed by the K-orbits of Maximal Dimension of the MD4-group, PhD Thesis, Ha Noi (1990) (in Vietnamese).

[16] VU, L. A.; HOA, D. Q., The geometry picture of K-orbits of connected and simply connected MD5-groups such that their MD5-algebras have 4-dimensional commutative derived ideals, Scientific Journal of University of Pedagogy of Ho Chi Minh city, 12(46): 16-28 (2007) (in Vietnamese)

[17] VU, L. A.; SHUM, K. P., Classification of 5-dimensional MD-algebra having commutative derived ideals, Advances in Algebra and Combinatorics, Singapore: World Scientific, 2008, 353-371.

[18] VU, L. A.; HOA, D. Q., The topology of foliations formed by the generic K-orbits of a subclass of the indecomposable MD5-groups, Science in China, series A: Mathemmatics, Volume **52**- Number 2, February 2009, 351-360.

[19] VU L. A., HOA, D. Q., K-theory of the Leaf Space of Foliations Formed by the Generic K-orbits of Some Indecomposable MD5-groups, *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics*, Volume **38**:2 (2010), 249 - 259.